



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
-----------------	-------------	----------------------	---------------------	------------------

10/089,122

03/22/2002

Sunao Takatori

2222.6100001

9087

26111 7590 03/22/2011
STERNE, KESSLER, GOLDSTEIN & FOX P.L.L.C.
1100 NEW YORK AVENUE, N.W.
WASHINGTON, DC 20005

EXAMINER

TINKLER, MURIEL S

ART UNIT

PAPER NUMBER

3691

MAIL DATE

DELIVERY MODE

03/22/2011

PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No. 10/089,122	Applicant(s) TAKATORI ET AL.
	Examiner MURIEL TINKLER	Art Unit 3691

All Participants:

(1) MURIEL TINKLER.

(2) Amirali Sharifi.

Date of Interview: 21 March 2011

Type of Interview:

☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No
 If Yes, provide a brief description: .

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

35 USC 112, second paragraph Rejection(s) of claims 4, 5, 7-10, 12-15, 25, 27, 29, 32 and 33

Claims discussed:

4, 5, 7-10, 12-15, 25, 27, 29, 32 and 33

Prior art documents discussed:

N/A

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

The Applicant's representative previously contacted the Examiner to discuss the 35 USC 112 Rejection(s) on Wednesday, March 16, 2011. The Examiner contacted Primary Examiner Akintola to discuss the 112 Rejection(s) of the claims. Primary Examiner Akintola agreed that the memories disclosed in the claims are two distinct memories. Therefore, Examiner Tinkler has agreed to withdraw the 35 USC 112 Rejection(s) of the claims. The Applicant's representative has agreed to file a timely response.

Part III.

☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

/Muriel Tinkler/
Examiner, Art Unit 3691

Status of Application: non-final

(3) _____.

(4) _____.

Time: 12:45 PM

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)